

# Conjugation of gallic acid onto chitosan: An approach for green and water-based antioxidant

Wanvimol Pasanphan<sup>a</sup>, Suwabun Chirachanchai<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, Soi Chula 12, Phya Thai Road, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

<sup>b</sup> Center for Chitin-Chitosan Biomaterial, Chulalongkorn University, Soi Chula 12, Phya Thai Road, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

Received 15 May 2007; received in revised form 28 July 2007; accepted 2 August 2007

Available online 14 August 2007

## Abstract

A novel derivative of chitosan, chitosan–gallic acid (chitosan–GA), obtained from a simple conjugating condition with gallic acid using carbodiimide is proposed. The conjugation with gallic acid brings the water-solubility or water swelling property to chitosan. The studies on the free radical scavenging of chitosan–GA clarify its significant antioxidant activity on free radicals, i.e. carbon-centered radicals and hydroxyl radicals. Chitosan–GA clearly shows a synergistic antioxidant activity on hydroxyl radical scavenging where iron metals were involved. The work demonstrates how chitosan–GA can be a potential natural-compound-based antioxidant.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Chitosan; Gallic acid; Antioxidant; Water soluble; Carbodiimide conjugating agent; Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR)

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that free radicals play an important role in metabolic and chemical changes in biological systems, which may result in disease, food deterioration, and aging (Halliwell & Gutteridge, 1989, Chapter VIII). Antioxidants reduce or retard free radical generation and prevent the oxidation of cellular oxidizable substrates. Antioxidants such as butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) are representative; however, they are synthetic compounds and have to be used under strict regulations (Bran, 1975; Whysner, Wang, Zang, Iatropoulos, & Williams, 1994). Vitamins such as C and E are well known for the major tissue antioxidants in biological systems; however, we have to be aware of their instability and some adverse effects as prooxidants (Mayo et al., 2003). Health professionals and consumers welcome the natural antioxidants and are searching for alternative ones;

especially those from plant extracts, for example, green tea (Nakagawa & Yokozawa, 2002), rosemary (Sebranek, Sewalt, Robbins, & Houser, 2005), olive oil (Beltrán, Aguilera, Rio, Sanchez, & Martinez, 2005), and so forth. In recent years, polysaccharides, such as sulfated seaweed-based polysaccharide, have been reported as antioxidants. Sulfated polysaccharide from *Porphyra haitanensis* (Zhang et al., 2003), fucoidan from *Fucus vesiculosus*, and fucans from *Padina gymnospora* (Souza et al., 2007) shows the scavenging effect on superoxide radical, hydroxyl radical, and lipid peroxide.

Chitin-chitosan (Fig. 1) is the second most naturally abundant copolysaccharide of  $\beta$ -(1-4)-2-acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucose and  $\beta$ -(1-4)-2-amino-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucose, next to cellulose, but exists in the shells of crustaceans, insects, and fungi. Similar to the polysaccharide from seaweeds, chitosan has also been reported for its antioxidant ability, in addition to its antibacterial (Kendra & Hadwiger, 1984) and antimutagenetic (Kogan et al., 2004) properties. Chitosan sulfate and low molecular weight chitosan sulfate were found to have radical scavenging activity on the superoxide and hydroxyl radicals (Xing, Liu, et al., 2005; Xing, Yu, et al., 2005). Huang, Mendis, and Kima (2005)

\* Corresponding author. Address: The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, Soi Chula 12, Phya Thai Road, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. Tel.: +66 2 218 4134; fax: +66 2 215 4459.

E-mail address: [csuwabun@chula.ac.th](mailto:csuwabun@chula.ac.th) (S. Chirachanchai).




Fig. 1. (A) Chitosan and (B) gallic acid, GA.

proposed hydroxyethyl chitosan sulfate as a radical scavenger as evidenced from the model studies of DPPH, hydroxyl, and carbon-centered free radicals. Xie, Xu, and Liu (2001) reported that maleic acid grafted hydroxypropyl chitosan or carboxymethyl chitosan showed radical scavenging activity against hydroxyl radicals. It is important to note that for those cases, the approaches are the functionalization with the hydrophilic terminal groups, such as sulfate (Xing, Liu, et al., 2005; Xing, Yu, et al., 2005), carboxymethyl, hydroxylpropyl (Xie et al., 2001), and so forth.

As chitosan exhibits strong metal ion chelating ability (Burke, Yilmaz, & Hasirci, 2000) owing to the nitrogen atom, chitosan is a potential preventive antioxidant based on its metal ion deactivation. However, chitosan has some limitations in being a practical antioxidant; especially, (i) its poor solubility due to its inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bond network, and (ii) the lack of an H-atom donor to serve as a good chain breaking antioxidant. As a result, we need to improve the solubility of chitosan in neutral aqueous solution as well as introduce the H-atom donor group if we are to consider the development of a chitosan-based antioxidant.

Gallic acid (GA, 3,4,5-trihydroxy benzoic acid) (Fig. 1) is a natural phenolic antioxidant extractable from plants, especially green tea (Lu, Nie, Belton, Tang, & Zhao, 2006). It is widely used in food, drugs, and cosmetics to prevent rancidity induced by lipid peroxidation and spoilage. From the structural viewpoint, GA is attractive for conjugating onto chitosan for a novel green antioxidant because of (i) the high reducing potential and low O—H bond dissociation enthalpy of the tri-hydroxyl groups on the benzene ring (Ji, Zhang, & Shen, 2006); (ii) the possibility that the bulky group of the benzene ring of GA obstructs the inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bond network of chitosan; (iii) the multi-functional hydrophilicity based on the hydroxyl and carboxyl groups; (iv) the carboxylic acid group for conjugation with chitosan; and (v) it being a natural product. It is also important to note that chitosan is soluble in acid conditions ( $\text{p}K_a \sim 5.5$ ), which renders this polymer inapplicable in the neutral aqueous or in the nonderivatized form. It can be expected that the chitosan-conjugated gallic acid may show antioxidant

activity and water-solubility, together with the metal chelating ability (Burke, Yilmaz, Hasirci, & Yilmaz, 2002; Burke et al., 2000; Guzman, Saucedo, Revilla, Navarro, & Guibal, 2003; Ngah, Ghani, & Kamari, 2005), biodegradability (Yamamoto & Amaike, 1997), biocompatibility (Richardson, Kolbe, & Duncan, 1999), and bioactivity (Dumitriu, Popa, Cringu, & Stratome, 1989).

The present work focuses on the development of a novel natural-compound-based antioxidant by functionalizing chitosan with gallic acid. Here, the article shows the simple conjugating reaction and the water-solubility improvement of chitosan. The article also demonstrates the antioxidant activity of the derivative by using a series of model radical species; i.e. 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical, carbon-centered radical and hydroxyl radical.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials

Chitosan with a percent degree of deacetylation (%DD) of 95 ( $M_v = 9.5 \times 10^5$  Da) was provided from Seafresh Chitosan (Lab) Company Limited, Thailand. Gallic acid (GA) and 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-1-oxide (DMPO) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc., USA, and was used after purification with charcoal.  $\alpha$ -(4-Pyridyl-1-oxide)-*N*-tert-butyl nitron (POBN) was purchased from Sigma, USA. Hydrogen peroxide solution (30%), sodium hydroxide solution (1 M), ferrous ammonium sulfate ( $\text{Fe}(\text{NH}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), and methanol were from Fisher Scientific Co., Fair Lawn, NJ, USA. 2,2'-Azobis (2-amidinopropane)·HCl (AAPH) was from Polysciences, Inc., USA. 1-Ethyl-3-(3'-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) were obtained from TCI, Japan. *N*-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) was purchased from Wako (Osaka, Japan). Ethanol and acetic acid (AR grade) were from Lab Scan, Co., Ltd., Thailand. All chemicals were used without further purification.

### 2.2. Instruments

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was carried out by using a Thermo Nicolet Nexus 670 with 32 scans,  $2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  resolution. Elemental analysis was carried out by using a Yanako CHN Corder MT-3, MT-5 with a combustion temperature at  $950^\circ\text{C}$  under air atmosphere with  $\text{O}_2$  as a combustion gas (flow rate  $20 \text{ mL/min}$ ) and He as a carrier (flow rate  $200 \text{ mL/min}$ ).  $^{13}\text{C}$  cross-polarization magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance ( $^{13}\text{C}$  CP/MAS NMR) spectra were taken by using a Bruker DPX-300 at  $23 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ . Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( $^1\text{H}$  NMR) spectra were recorded at  $70^\circ\text{C}$  for the samples dissolved in  $\text{CD}_3\text{COOD}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$  (2%v/v) using a Bruker UXNMR/XWIN-NMR Avance DPX400. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra were recorded by a Rigaku RINT 2000 over  $2\text{--}60^\circ 2\theta$  using  $\text{CuK}_\alpha$  as an X-ray source,

operating at 40 kV and 30 mA with a Ni filter. A Dupont thermogravimetric analyzer was applied under a N<sub>2</sub> flow rate of 20 mL/min and a heating rate of 10 °C/min from 30 to 600 °C. The solubility was evaluated by a ChemStation 845x. ReV.A., 10.10, USA, UV–visible spectrometer at 500 nm. The radicals scavenging capacity was determined by a Bruker EMX EPR spectroscope with a transverse mode (TM<sub>110</sub>) cavity and flat cell. The EPR spectrometer settings were: receiver gain,  $2.5 \times 10^5$ ; microwave power, 10 mW; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; frequency, 9.780 GHz; modulation amplitude, 0.10 G; time constant, 40.96 ms; number of scans, 20; and scan rate 10 G/21 s.

### 2.3. Synthesis of chitosan conjugated with gallic acid, 3

Chitosan (1 wt/vol %) was dissolved in acetic acid (2 vol %) and stirred overnight to obtain a chitosan solution and was re-precipitated in sodium hydroxide (1 wt/vol %) solution to obtain fine particles. The product obtained was thoroughly washed with water several times until neutral and was kept in ethanol. Gallic acid (GA, 0.5104 g, 3 mole equivalent to chitosan) was dissolved in ethanol (20 mL) and 1-ethyl-3-(3'-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC, 1.7253 g, 1 mole equivalent to GA) was reacted with GA to obtain **1**. *N*-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS, 1.0358 g, 1 mole equivalent to GA) was further added. The reaction was stirred in an ice bath for 1 h in ethanol to obtain **2**.

The solution **2** was gradually added into the chitosan suspension in ethanol (0.1631 g, 1 mole) and stirred in an ice bath for 30 min. The reaction was carried out heterogeneously at room temperature for 24 h. The solution obtained was centrifuged and washed thoroughly with ethanol several times to obtain chitosan conjugated with gallic acid (chitosan–GA), **3c** (Fig. 1). Similarly, **3a**, **3b**, and **3d** were prepared by varying the chitosan/GA/EDC/NHS ratios (1:1:1:1 for **3a**; 1:2:2:2 for **3b**; and 1:4:4:4 for **3d**), respectively.

(C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>16</sub>N)<sub>0.92</sub>(C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>12</sub>N)<sub>0.08</sub>: Calcd. C 54.04, H 3.84, N 1.62, O 40.50. Found for **3a** (DS ~ 15.49%) C 38.83, H 6.64, N 7.50, O 47.03. Found for **3b** (DS ~ 15.52%) C 38.34, H 6.62, N 7.39, O 47.65. Found for **3c** (DS ~ 15.62%) C 36.93, H 6.70, N 7.07, O 49.30. Found for **3d** (DS ~ 15.51%) C 37.59, H 6.69, N 7.25, O 48.47.

IR (KBr) for **3**: 1640 (amide I), 1580 (amide II), 1730 (C=O ester), 3464 (OH), and 895 (pyranose ring).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR for **3c**:  $\delta$  = 160.2 (C=O ester), 140 (aromatic), 104.6 (C-1 of pyranose ring) 75.5–82.5 (C-3 to C-5 of pyranose ring), 57.3–61.1 (C-2 and C-6 of pyranose ring).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (2% CD<sub>3</sub>COOD in D<sub>2</sub>O) for **3**:  $\delta$  = 7.6 (2H, s, H-a), 2.5 (3H, s, H-Ac), 5.3 (1H, d, H-1), 3.0 (1H, d, H-2), 3.9–4.5 (5H, m, H-3 to H-6 of pyranose ring).

### 2.4. DPPH radical (DPPH<sup>•</sup>) scavenging capacity

A 250  $\mu$ L sample of each concentration of GA (20, 40, 48, 60, and 80  $\mu$ M), **3c**, and chitosan (480, 970, 1450,

1940, 2900, 4000, and 4850  $\mu$ M, respectively) was mixed with the methanolic DPPH<sup>•</sup> solution (200  $\mu$ M, 750  $\mu$ L). The reactions were carried out at room temperature under subdued light and left for 30 min before collecting the EPR spectra. The relative percent of DPPH<sup>•</sup> scavenging capacity was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\%RC = (h_0 - h_c)/h_0 \times 100, \quad (1)$$

where  $h_c$  and  $h_0$  are the peak heights of the EPR spectrum with and without antioxidant, respectively.

### 2.5. Carbon-centered radical (R<sup>•</sup>) scavenging capacity

An amount of **3c** solution (4 mM; 2, 3, 6, 15, 30  $\mu$ L) or GA (5 mM; 1, 2, 5, 10, 20  $\mu$ L) was mixed with AAPH (300 mM, 25  $\mu$ L) and POBN (500 mM, 25  $\mu$ L). PBS pH 7.4 was added to adjust the volume of the mixture to 500  $\mu$ L. The EPR spectrum of POBN/R<sup>•</sup> spin adducts was measured. The relative percent of R<sup>•</sup> scavenging capacity was calculated as in Eq. (1).

### 2.6. Hydroxyl radical (HO<sup>•</sup>) scavenging capacity

GA or **3c** (10 mM) were prepared and each sample (2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 150, or 250  $\mu$ L) was taken to mix with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (250  $\mu$ M, 20  $\mu$ L), DMPO (1 M, 5  $\mu$ L), and Fe<sup>2+</sup> ((Fe (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O) solutions (100  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ L). PBS pH 6.5 was used to adjust the total volume to 500  $\mu$ L. The EPR spectrum of DMPO/HO<sup>•</sup> spin adducts was measured. The relative percent of HO<sup>•</sup> quenching capacity was calculated as in Eq. (1).

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Conjugation of chitosan with GA and its water swelling property

As GA, EDC, and NSH are soluble in ethanol and the re-precipitated chitosan was dispersed well in ethanol, the reaction mixture was then carried out heterogeneously in ethanol at ambient temperature. As shown the mechanism in Fig. 2, after EDC and NHS initiated the reactive ester species on GA, the nucleophilic reaction possibly occurs at C-2, C-6, including C-3. The un-reacted species and the by-products **4**, as well as NHS, were removed by washing thoroughly with a good solvent (i.e. ethanol) several times. The suspension obtained was centrifuged to collect the wet powder particulate product. Here, it is important to note that when the product was combined with water, a rather clear solution was observed (Fig. 3(A)). To confirm the water-solubility, the transmittance of **3a–3d** aqueous solution (1.2 mg mL<sup>−1</sup>) at 500 nm was studied. Compounds **3c** and **3d** show the transmittance above 80%, whereas **3a** and **3b** are only 4% and 8% (Fig. 3(B)). It was also found that when the samples were freeze-dried, **3c** and **3d** became partially dissolved or swelled in water;





Fig. 2. Reaction pathway of chitosan conjugated with GA via conjugating agents.

Fig. 3. Appearances (A) and transmittance at 500 nm of **3a**, **3b**, **3c**, and **3d** aqueous solutions (1.2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) (B). Results are means  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 3$ ).

whereas **3a** and **3b** were difficult to dissolve or even swell in water. The difficulty in re-dissolving **3a** and **3b** implied the recovery of the H-bond along the chitosan chain after freeze-drying.

### 3.2. Structural characterization of chitosan–GA

The conjugation of GA on chitosan is possible at either C-2, to obtain the amide linkage, or C-3 and C-6 to obtain the ester linkage (Paquette, 1995). Compound **3** shows significant peaks at 1730 and 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup>, implying the ester and amide linkages between chitosan and GA (Fig. 4). Here, to quantify how significant esterification or imidization was, the FT-IR curve fitting was carried out using the integral ratio between the peaks at 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup> (ester) or 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup> (amide), and the internal peak at 895 cm<sup>-1</sup> referred to the C–O–C of the pyranose ring (Fig. 5(A)). It was found that the ratios of  $A_{1640}/A_{895}$  and  $A_{1730}/A_{895}$  increased when compared to chitosan (Fig. 5(B)). Fig. 5(C) shows the differences in the integral ratio of  $A_{1640}/A_{895}$  and  $A_{1730}/A_{895}$  after subtracting with the amide and ester peaks of chitosan. The higher integral ratios of **3c** and **3d** demonstrated the higher GA moiety on chitosan, resulting in water-solubility improvement, which relates to Fig. 3(A). From these results, it can be concluded that the gallate group of GA was successfully introduced onto chitosan via amide and ester linkage on account of the significant increase in the integral ratio.

For <sup>13</sup>C CP/MAS NMR, **3** showed the aromatic carbon of the gallate group at 140 ppm (C=C) and at 160.2 ppm (C=O) implying successful conjugation. Although **3**, espe-




Fig. 4. FT-IR spectra of (A) **3** and (B) chitosan.

cially **3c** and **3d**, was water soluble after being freshly prepared, the freeze-drying step initiated the packing structure, resulting in the partial dissolution in water. An attempt to qualitatively and quantitatively analyze the conjugation of GA even though the compound was partially dissolved in  $\text{CD}_3\text{COOD}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$  (2% v/v), was done by  $^1\text{H}$  NMR at 70 °C. Compound **3c** shows a new peak at

7.6 ppm (Fig. 6(B)) belonging to the phenyl protons as compared to chitosan (Fig. 6(A)). This confirms the successful conjugation with GA. As the chitosan peaks are at  $\delta = 2.5$  (H-Ac), 5.3 (H-1), 3.0 (H-2), and 3.9–4.5 ppm (H-3 to H-6 of pyranose ring), the degree of substitution was then calculated from the integration of H-a (benzene ring of GA) and the internal standard peak of H-1 (pyranose ring). The substitution degrees of GA for **3a**, **3b**, **3c**, and **3d** were 2.77, 2.99, 3.10, and 3.05 ppm, respectively. The low substitution degree might come from the fact that the compounds were only partially dissolved in the solvents.

The substitution degree was also evaluated by elemental analysis. The ideal substitution of GA (100%) on C-2, C-3, and C-6 (Fig. 2) of the chitosan chain brings the C/N ratio to be 33.4. For **3c**, the C/N ratio was 5.22, implying a degree of substitution of 15.6%. In the cases of **3a**, **3b**, and **3d**, the C/N ratios were 5.18, 5.19, and 5.18 and the %DS was 15.49, 15.52, and 15.51, respectively. The combustion of **3** was incomplete, as evidenced from a certain amount of ash after carrying out the elemental analysis; however, we consider the %DS obtained here to be a guideline for the successful conjugation of GA on chitosan.

### 3.3. Thermal stability and morphological studies

As chitosan is under strong inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonds, chitosan does not exhibit thermal-plastic-




Fig. 5. (A) FT-IR spectra and curve fitting results of chitosan, **3a**, **3b**, **3c**, and **3d**; (B) integral ratio of (■)  $A_{1640/895}$  (amide) and (■)  $A_{1730/895}$  (ester) obtained from (A); and, (C) the subtraction of amide (●) and ester (■) integral ratio of **3a**, **3b**, **3c**, and **3d** with chitosan (from (B)).






Fig. 6.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of (A) chitosan and (B) **3c** in  $\text{CD}_3\text{COOD}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$  at  $70^\circ\text{C}$ .




Fig. 7. Degradation temperature of chitosan and **3a–3d**. Results are means  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 3$ ).

ity, but gives the degradation before it melts at  $290\text{--}310^\circ\text{C}$  ( $T_d$ ). In general, the introduction of the functional group obstructs the chain packing, resulting in the decrease of  $T_d$ . Here, all derivatives (**3a–3d**) show  $T_d$  in the range of  $250\text{--}270^\circ\text{C}$  which is lower than that of chitosan (Fig. 7). This implies the loose of packing structure of chitosan after conjugating with GA.

The morphological studies also reflect the looseness in chain packing after introducing GA onto the chitosan chains. The XRD pattern of chitosan gives the peaks at  $9^\circ$ ,  $19^\circ$ , and  $22^\circ$   $2\theta$  (Fig. 8(A)), whereas that of **3c** shows the major peak at  $25^\circ$   $2\theta$  (Fig. 8(B)). The consequent increase of amorphous phase confirms the successful conjugation of gallate group onto chitosan.

#### 3.4. DPPH $^\cdot$ scavenging capacity

It is important to clarify whether chitosan shows radical scavenging ability via the function of gallic acid or not. The DPPH $^\cdot$  is a relatively stable free radical because it can be easily detected by EPR; thus, it is useful and practical for the evaluation of antioxidative potential (Williams, Cuve-




Fig. 8. Morphology study by XRD patterns of (A) chitosan and (B) 3c.

lier, & Berset, 1995). The DPPH<sup>•</sup> radical scavenging activity was investigated from the activity of Chitosan–GA to reduce the radicals, as shown in the following equation.



The antioxidant capacity was evaluated by plotting the concentrations of antioxidant against the percent of free radical scavenging capacity. Fig. 9 shows the comparative studies on the reducing capacity of chitosan, 3c, and GA. In the case of chitosan (Fig. 9(A)), no DPPH<sup>•</sup> reduction is observed even though its concentration was as high as




Fig. 9. DPPH radical scavenging capacity of (A) 3c (▲), and chitosan (■), and (B) GA (●).

1200 μM. The DPPH<sup>•</sup> scavenging capacity of 3c (Fig. 9(A)) increases as the concentration increases and is up to 87.3% when the concentration reached 1200 μM. The EC<sub>50</sub> value, which expresses the antioxidant concentration to reduce the radicals by 50%, is a good indicator to quantify the antioxidant capacity. As shown in Fig. 9, the EC<sub>50</sub> in scavenging the DPPH<sup>•</sup> of 3c (Fig. 9(A)) is 740 μM. Although the value is 90 times less than that of pure GA (EC<sub>50</sub> = 8 μM) (Fig. 9(B)), it implies the function of chitosan–GA as a polymer antioxidant.

### 3.5. Carbon-centered radical (R<sup>•</sup>) scavenging capacity

Carbon-centered radical, R<sup>•</sup>, is one of the representative oxidized products in lipid membranes and lipoproteins (Venkataraman, Schafer, & Buettner, 2004). In this study, we determined the ability of chitosan–GA to scavenge R<sup>•</sup> being induced by AAPH (Eq. (3)). It is important to point out that the EPR signal of POBN/R<sup>•</sup> is related to the antioxidant potential of chitosan–GA (Eqs. 4 and 5):




Fig. 10 demonstrates that the EC<sub>50</sub> in scavenging R<sup>•</sup> of 3c is 110 μM, while GA is 10 μM. This indicates that GA on chitosan functions in donating an H-atom as well as free GA. Huang et al. (2005) reported a R<sup>•</sup> scavenging capacity of 60% when using chitosan sulfate was about 0.125 mg mL<sup>−1</sup>. In our case, as the same 60% needs 3c for 200 μM (0.038 mg mL<sup>−1</sup>), this implies an effective R<sup>•</sup> scavenging of chitosan.

### 3.6. Hydroxyl radical (HO<sup>•</sup>) scavenging capacity

The hydroxyl radical (HO<sup>•</sup>) is a highly oxidizing product related to the Fenton and Haber Weiss reactions, which






Fig. 10. Scavenging capacity of GA (●) and 3c (▲) on carbon-centered radical.

involves the initiation of biological oxidations; for example DNA, protein, and lipid oxidations (Haber & Weiss, 1934). The loosely bound iron is well known to be an important component of biological free radical oxidation as it is a key factor to produce  $\text{HO}^\bullet$ , as seen in Eq. (6):



In this work, hydroxyl radicals were generated via the reaction of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  according to the Fenton reaction (Eq. 6). The antioxidant capacity of chitosan-GA was determined by the ability of chitosan-GA to stabilize  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  via Eq. (7). The  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  remaining was detected relating to the amount of DMPO/ $\text{HO}^\bullet$  (Eq. 8). The ability of **3c** in scavenging  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  is plotted against its concentrations (Fig. 11). The  $\text{EC}_{50}$  in scavenging  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  of **3c** and GA are 350 ( $0.067 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$ ) and  $50 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ , respectively. In other words, chitosan-GA, with only a certain amount of gallate groups, shows antioxidant activity on  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  close to the pure GA. Compared to the  $\text{EC}_{50}$  in scavenging  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  of chitosan sulfate (Xing, Liu, et al., 2005) for  $3.269 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$ , **3c** can be considered to be a good hydroxyl radical scavenger.

It is important to note that when the concentration of GA is above  $1000 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ , the  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  scavenging capacity decreases and saturates at 80% (Fig. 11). This might be due to the reducing potential of GA to reduce  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ , resulting in the recycling of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  in the system. As  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  initiates  $\text{HO}^\bullet$ , the higher the GA concentration, the more  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  will be produced in the system. It is important to point out that this phenomenon could not be observed in **3c**. In the case of **3c**, the  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  scavenging capacity increases gradually and maintains at 88% after the concentration of **3c** reaches  $2000 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ . This might relate to the fact that chitosan has the ability to form a complex with  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ , resulting in the retardation of  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  formation

(Burke et al., 2002). This result also supports that chitosan functions as a metal ion deactivator and performs as a preventive antioxidant when ferrous ions are involved in the system. We suspect that the synergistic effect in  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  scavenging of **3c** was from the antioxidant activity of GA on the chitosan chain together with the metal ion complexation ability of chitosan.

#### 4. Conclusion

The present work demonstrated a novel green antioxidant polymer by conjugating chitosan with a natural antioxidant molecule, i.e. gallic acid. The simple conjugation condition via the EDC/NSH heterogeneous system brought about a gallic acid substitution at amino and hydroxyl groups of about 15 % as evaluated by EA. chitosan-GA covered a wide range of antioxidant activity, including the carbon-centered radical and hydroxyl radical. The gallic acid conjugated on chitosan not only brings antioxidant activity but also improves the water-solubility of chitosan. By incorporating GA onto chitosan, chitosan performs multi-functional and has unique properties; i.e. (i) offers preventive antioxidation activity due to the metal ion deactivator of the chitosan, (ii) is a chain breaking antioxidant due to the H-atom donating ability of the tri-hydroxyl group of GA, (iii) has water-solubility due to the bulky hydrophilic group of GA, and (iv) has a synergistic effect in the  $\text{HO}^\bullet$  scavenging activity under the Fenton reaction.

#### Acknowledgements

The author (W.P.) gratefully acknowledges the Commission on Higher Education, the Ministry of Education, Thailand, for the scholarship. The authors thank the National Research Council of Thailand. We acknowledge Professor Garry R. Buettner, Free Radical Research Institute EPR Facility, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA, for the antioxidant potential studies by the EPR spectroscopy instrument. The authors also extend their appreciation to the Seafresh Chitosan (Lab) Company Limited, Thailand, for providing the chitosan.

#### References

- Beltrán, G. M., Aguilera, P., Rio, C. D., Sanchez, S., & Martinez, L. (2005). Influence of fruit ripening process on the natural antioxidant content of hojiblanca virgin olive oils. *Food Chemistry*, 89, 207–215.
- Bran, A. L. (1975). Toxicology and biochemistry of BHA and BHT. *Journal of American Oil Chemical Society*, 52, 372–375.
- Burke, A., Yilmaz, E., & Hasirci, N. (2000). Evaluation of chitosan as a potential medical iron (III) ion adsorbent. *Turk Journal of Medical Sciences*, 30, 623–624.
- Burke, A., Yilmaz, E., Hasirci, N., & Yilmaz, O. (2002). Iron(III) ion removal from solution through adsorption on chitosan. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 84, 1185–1192.
- Dumitriu, S., Popa, M. I., Cringiu, A., & Stratome, A. (1989). Synthesis and characterization of some retard antibiotics. *Colloid and Polymer Science*, 267, 595–599.




Fig. 11. Scavenging capacity of GA (●) and **3c** (▲) on hydroxyl radical.



- Guzman, J., Saucedo, I., Revilla, J., Navarro, R., & Guibal, E. (2003). Copper sorption by chitosan in the presence of citrate ions: Influence of metal speciation on sorption mechanism and uptake capacities. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 33, 57–65.
- Haber, F., & Weiss, J. J. (1934). The catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide by iron salts. *Proceeding of the Royal Society of London Series A*, 147, 332–351.
- Halliwell, B., & Gutteridge, J. M. C. (1989). *Free radicals in biology and medicine*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Huang, R., Mendis, E., & Kima, S. K. (2005). Factors affecting the free radical scavenging behavior of chitosan sulfate. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 36, 120–127.
- Ji, H. F., Zhang, H. Y., & Shen, L. (2006). Proton dissociation is important to understanding structure–activity relationships of gallic acid antioxidants. *Bioorganic and Medical Chemistry Letters*, 16, 4095–4098.
- Kendra, D. F., & Hadwiger, L. A. (1984). Characterization of the smallest chitosan oligomer that is maximally antifungal to *Fusarium solani* and elicits pisatin formation in *Pisum sativum*. *Experimental Mycology*, 8, 276–281.
- Kogan, G., Skorik, Y. A., Žitnanová, I., Križková, L., Ďuračková, Z., Gomes, C. A. R., Yatluk, Y. G., & Krajčovič, J. (2004). Antioxidant and antimutagenic activity of *N*-(2-carboxyethyl) chitosan. *Journal of Toxicology Applied Pharmacology*, 201, 303–310.
- Lu, Z., Nie, G., Belton, P. S., Tang, H., & Zhao, B. (2006). Structure–activity relationship analysis of antioxidant ability and neuroprotective effect of gallic acid derivatives. *Neurochemistry International*, 48, 263–274.
- Mayo, J. C., Tan, D. X., Sainz, R. M., Natarajan, M., Lopez-Burillo, S., & Reiter, R. J. (2003). Protection against oxidative protein damage induced by metal-catalyzed reaction or alkylperoxyl radicals: Comparative effects of melatonin and other antioxidants. *BBA-General Subjects*, 1620, 139–150.
- Nakagawa, T., & Yokozawa, T. (2002). Direct scavenging of nitric oxide and superoxide by green tea. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 40, 1745–1750.
- Ngah, W. S. W., Ghani, S. A., & Kamari, A. (2005). Adsorption behaviour of Fe(II) and Fe(III) ions in aqueous solution on chitosan and cross-linked chitosan beads. *Bioresource Technology*, 96, 443–450.
- Paquette, L. A. (1995). In *Encyclopedia of reagents for organic synthesis* (pp. 2430–2432). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Richardson, S. C., Kolbe, H. V., & Duncan, R. (1999). Potential of low molecular mass chitosan as a DNA delivery system: Biocompatibility, body distribution and ability to complex and protect DNA. *International Journal of Pharmacology*, 178, 231–243.
- Sebranek, J. G., Sewalt, V. J. H., Robbins, K. L., & Houser, T. A. (2005). Comparison of a natural rosemary extract and BHA/BHT for relative antioxidant effectiveness in pork sausage. *Meat Science*, 69, 289–296.
- Souza, M. C. R., Marques, C. T., Dore, C. M. G., Silva, F. R. F., Rocha, H. A. O., & Leite, E. L. (2007). Antioxidant activities of sulfated polysaccharides from brown and red seaweeds. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 19, 153–160.
- Venkataraman, S., Schafer, F. Q., & Buettner, G. R. (2004). Detection of lipid radicals using EPR. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, 6, 631–638.
- Whysner, L., Wang, C. X., Zang, E., Iatropoulos, M. J., & Williams, G. M. (1994). Dose response of promotion by butylated hydroxyanisole in chemically initiated tumours of the rat forestomach. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 32, 215–222.
- Williams, W. B., Cuvelier, M. E., & Berset, C. (1995). Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. *Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und Technologie-Food Science and Technology*, 28, 25–30.
- Xie, W., Xu, P., & Liu, Q. (2001). Antioxidant activity of water-soluble chitosan derivatives. *Bioorganic and Medical Chemistry Letters*, 11, 1699–1701.
- Xing, R., Liu, S., Yu, H., Guoa, Z., Li, Z., & Li, P. (2005). Preparation of high-molecular weight and high-sulfate content chitosans and their potential antioxidant activity in vitro. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 61, 148–154.
- Xing, R., Yu, H., Liu, S., Zhang, W., Zhang, Q., Li, Z., et al. (2005). Antioxidant activity of differently regioselective chitosan sulfates in vitro. *Bioorganic and Medical Chemistry*, 13, 1387–1392.
- Yamamoto, H., & Amaike, M. (1997). Biodegradation of cross-linked chitosan gels by a microorganism. *Macromolecules*, 30, 3936–3937.
- Zhang, Q., Yu, P., Li, Z., Zhang, H., Xu, Z., & Li, P. (2003). Antioxidant activities of sulfated polysaccharide fractions from *Porphyrha haitanensis*. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 15, 305–310.